

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/637,665	08/11/2003	Tsutomu Sato	241280US2S DIV 3516		
22850	7590 11/08/2004		EXAMINER		
•	VAK, MCCLELLAN	NGO, NGAN V			
1940 DUKE S ALEXANDRI	TREET A, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	<b>,</b>		2814		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		665	SATO ET AL.				
		er	Art Unit				
	Ngan N	go	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on ti	ne cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
<ul><li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.</li><li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition</li></ul>	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 13-24 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 14 and 24 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 13 and 15-23 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/091,448.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0803</u> .		4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa		O-152)			

The election filed September 27, 2004 has been entered and made of record as paper no. 0904.

Applicant's election of Species III, Figures 7-9, and claims 13 and 15-23 in the reply filed on September 27, 2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jaso et al (US-5894152, cited by Applicants).

Jaso discloses in figures 5 and 6 a semiconductor device comprising a plurality of first semiconductor layers (16 or 100 or 104) formed in a first region with an insulating film between the semiconductor substrate (12) and the first semiconductor layers (16 or 100 or 104) and a second semiconductor layer formed in a second region (102 or 122) of the substrate.

In re claim 15, the first semiconductor layers (100) in Jaso are surrounded by the second semiconductor layer (102).

Art Unit: 2814

In re claim 16, it is well known that the device in Jaso can be used in logic circuits, storage element, analog element, and signal input/output circuit. Mere statement of intended use is of no patentable weight in claims drawn to structure. See <a href="Ex parte Minks">Ex parte Minks</a>, 169 USPQ 120.

In re claims 17 and 18, the first semiconductor layers in Jaso are arranged to be symmetrical with respect to a certain point or certain straight line on the semiconductor substrate.

In re claims 19 and 22, the area of second semiconductor layer (102) in Jaso is layer than the first semiconductor layers 100).

In re claims 20 and 23, no patentable weight is given to the process of making in claims drawn to device. Note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17(footnote 3). See also In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324; In re Avery, 186 USPQ 161; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); In re Marosi et al, 218 USPQ 289; and particularly In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above caselaw makes clear.

In re.claim 21, the first semiconductor layer (100) in Jaso is rectangle and the second semiconductor layer (102) surrounds the first semiconductor layer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Ngan Ngo at telephone number (571) 272-1711. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ngan Van Ngo Primary Examinor

Ngan Ngo

November 4, 2004